Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—The Hon'ble Minister said that he did not know whether the committee was constituted or not. If he can enlighten us as to the details of the scheme that is now in operation, probably there will be no need to postpone the question at all.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I will request the Hon'ble Minister to place on the Table all the information regarding the constitution of the committee.

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—The proposal that they are now working out and the scheme that is being implemented for supplying good milk to the City should be placed on the Table of the House. That is much more important.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Will it be enough if I request the Hon'ble Minister to place all the information in this respect on the Table of this House.

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—Yes.

Drinking water supply to Karikathi Village.

Q.—605. Sri B. D. JATTI (Jamakhandi [on behalf of SRI H. V. KOUJALGI (Sampagaon I)].—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether they are aware that a village pipe (drinking) water scheme for Karikathi village (District Belgaum) was sanctioned by the former Bombay Government under the village pipe water scheme;

(*b*) whether they are aware that the District Local Board, Belgaum, had agreed to execute the said work as per instructions of the Bombay Government;

(*c*) whether the said scheme had been ordered to be executed;

(*d*) if so, by which agency and when ?

A.—Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA (Minister for Labour) [on behalf of SRI R. CHENNIGARAMIAH (Minister for Local Self-Government)].—

(*a*) and (*b*) Yes.

(*c*) and (*d*) The scheme is being examined by this Government.

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Is it not a fact that the Government of Bombay examined this scheme and approved it ? Where is the necessity for re-examining it now ?

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—It has been approved by the Government of Bombay, but the papers have again come up on the question of finance and so that point is being examined. It is almost ready and it will be sanctioned within about ten days.

Sri B. D. JATTI.—I feel that there is no question of finance. The public contribution has already been paid by the people and the Government also has deposited the money. So there is no financial difficulty. Will the Government explain the position ?

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—The final sanction has not yet been accorded by the Government of Bombay. Therefore, on reorganisation of the State when the papers came here it was examined by this Government and certain clarification that was sought by the Finance Department has been called for. That has arrived. Being aware that there has been some delay in regard to this matter, I said that the matter will be attended to and finalised in about ten days' time.

Sri H. V. KOUJALGI.—Is it not the policy of this Government to honour the commitments made by the previous Governments ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—They have said "yes".

Chamarajanagar-Satyamangala Railway Line.

Q.—653. Sri U. M. MADAPPA.—(Chamarajanagar).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

whether the construction of a railway line between Chamarajanagar and Satyamangala has been included in the Second Five-Year Plan ?

A.—Sri VEERENDRA PATIL (Deputy Minister for Home and Industries).—

No.

*ಶ್ರೀ ಯು. ಎಂ. ಮಾದಪ್ಪ.—ಚಾಮರಾಜನಗರ-ನತ್ಯಮಂಗಲ ರೈಲ್ವೆ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ನಿರ್ಣಯ ಇದೇ ಸಭೆಯ ಮುಂದೆ ಅದು ಪಾಸಾಗಿ ಅನಂತರ ಅದನ್ನು ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರ ಅವಗಾಹನೆಗಾಗಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟಿತಲ್ಲವೇ?

*ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಎಂ. ಪೂಣಚ್ಚ.—ಹೌದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಯು. ಎಂ. ಮಾದಪ್ಪ.—ಆ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದಿಂದ ಏನಾದರೂ ಉತ್ತರ ಬಂದಿತ್ತೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಎಂ. ಪೂಣಚ್ಚ.—ಈ ವಿಚಾರ ಕೇಂದ್ರದ ರೈಲ್ವೇ ಬೋರ್ಡಿನವರ ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆಯಲ್ಲಿರುತ್ತದೆಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಯು. ಎಂ. ಮಾದಪ್ಪ.—ಈ ವಿಚಾರ ತಮಗೆ ತಿಳಿಯಬಂದದ್ದು ಯಾವಾಗ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಎಂ. ಪೂಣಚ್ಚ.—ಆ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ತಮಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಬೇಕಾದರೆ ತಾವು ಒಂದು ಪ್ರತ್ಯೇಕ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿ. ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಒದಗಿಸಲಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಈಗ ಸದ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಆ ವಿವರಗಳು ನನ್ನಲ್ಲಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಯು. ಎಂ. ಮಾದಪ್ಪ.—ಈ ಹಿಂದೆ ಈ ರೈಲ್ವೆ ಗಳು ಮೈಸೂರು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರ ಆಡಳಿತದಲ್ಲಿದ್ದಾಗ ಈ ರೈಲ್ವೆಯನ್ನು ನೇರವಾಗಿ ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರೇ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕೆಂಬ ಆಲೋಚನೆ ಇತ್ತಲ್ಲವೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಎಂ. ಪೂಣಚ್ಚ.—ಹೌದು. ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರ ಆಲೋಚನೆಯಲ್ಲಿತ್ತು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಯು. ಎಂ. ಮಾದಪ್ಪ.—ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ರೈಲ್ವೆ ಇಂಟರ್‌ಸ್ಟೇಟ್ ಆಗುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಮುಂಚಿತವಾಗಿ ಈ ರೈಲ್ವೆಯ ಆಡಳಿತವನ್ನು ಕೇಂದ್ರಸರ್ಕಾರ ವಹಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕೆಂಬ ಆಲೋಚನೆ ಇತ್ತಲ್ಲವೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಎಂ. ಪೂಣಚ್ಚ.—ಹೌದು, ಆದರೆ ಅಂಥಾ ಯಾವ ಒಪ್ಪಂದವೂ ಆಗಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ.

Sri N. RACHIAH.—May I know whether it is not a fact that the Central Government Railway Minister held out a promise on 15th October 1956 in Mysore City that in view of its importance this line would be taken up immediately?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—I do understand that such an announcement was made. In pursuance of that announcement the survey was completed and the survey report was scrutinised. Certain other matters with regard to essential materials that are required for construction of this line are being now examined. The question now rests at that stage.

Sri N. RACHIAH.—May I know whether Government is aware that there was sufficient public agitation outside as well as in this House that Government should take immediate steps to see that this line should be taken up by the Central Government in the interest of the public?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—The public are very keen that this line should be immediately constructed, We are also pressing the Government of India in this regard.

Sri B. RACHAIAH.—Is it not a fact that the survey was over before 1956?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—There are two surveys, the preliminary survey and the regular survey. Both of them were over about a year back.

ಶ್ರೀ ಯು. ಎಂ. ಮಾದಪ್ಪ.—ನತ್ಯಮಂಗಲ-ಚಾಮರಾಜನಗರ ರೈಲ್ವೆ ಕೆಲಸವನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕೆಂದು ಈ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಒತ್ತಾಯಪಡಿಸಿದಾಗ ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಹಣ ದೊರೆತ ಕೂಡಲೇ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇವೆಂದು ಭರವಸೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಎಂ. ಪೂಣಚ್ಚ.—ಆ ವಿಚಾರವನ್ನು ಈ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಬಹಳ ಹಿಂದೆಯೇ ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಯು. ಎಂ. ಮಾದಪ್ಪ.—ಕೊನೆಗೆ ಯಾವ ತಾರೀಖಿನಲ್ಲಿ ತಮಗೆ ಈ ವಿಚಾರವನ್ನು ಕೇಂದ್ರದವರು ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದು?

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಎಂ. ಪೂಣಚ್ಚ.—ಇಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಸುಮಾರು ನಾಲ್ಕು ತಿಂಗಳ ಕೆಳಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಯು. ಎಂ. ಮಾದಪ್ಪ.—ಇತ್ತೀಚೆಗೇನೂ ಮತ್ತೆ ಅವರಿಂದ ಉತ್ತರಬರಲಿಲ್ಲವೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಎಂ. ಪೂಣಚ್ಚ.—ಇಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಯು. ಎಂ. ಮಾದಪ್ಪ.—ಈಗಲಾದರೂ ನಮ್ಮ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಮುಖ್ಯಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳಾಗಲಿ ಅಥವಾ ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳಾಗಲಿ ಕೇಂದ್ರಕ್ಕೆಹೋಗಿ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಒತ್ತಾಯಪಡಿಸಲು ಪ್ರಯತ್ನಪಡುತ್ತೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಎಂ. ಪೂಣಚ್ಚ.—ದೆಹಲಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಈಗ್ಗೆ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ದಿನಗಳ ಕೆಳಗೆ ನಡೆದ ನ್ಯಾಷನಲ್ ಡೆವಲಪ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಕಾರ್ಪೊರೇಷನ್‌ಗೆ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ರಾಜ್ಯಗಳ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳನ್ನೂ ಆಹ್ವಾನಿಸಲಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಆಗ ಆ ಕಾರ್ಪೊರೇಷನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಈ ವಿಚಾರವಾಗಿ ನಮ್ಮ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಪ್ರತಿಪಾದನೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆ ಭರವಸೆಯ ಮೇರೆ ಈಗ ಈ ವಿಚಾರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರ ಪರೀಕ್ಷಣೆಯಲ್ಲಿದೆಯೆಂದು ನಾನು ತಮಗೆ ಆಗಲೇ ಉತ್ತರ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಯು. ಎಂ. ಮಾದಪ್ಪ.—ಈ ಹಿಂದೆ ಮೈಸೂರು ರೈಲ್ವೆ ಕೇಂದ್ರಕ್ಕೆ ಇಂಟರ್‌ಸ್ಟೇಟ್ ಆಗುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಮುಂಚೆ ನಮ್ಮ ಬಜೆಟ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ರೈಲ್ವೆಗಾಗಿ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಒದಗಿಸಲಾಗಿತ್ತೆಂಬ ಅಂಶವನ್ನು ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತಂದಿದ್ದೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಎಂ. ಪೂಣಚ್ಚ.—ಆ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ನಾನೀಗ ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟ ಉತ್ತರ ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ರಾಚಯ್ಯ.—ಕೇಂದ್ರದವರು ನಮ್ಮಿಂದ ರೈಲ್ವೆ ತೆಗೆದು ಕೊಂಡಿದಂತರ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಮೈಲಿ ಸಹ ಹೊಸ ರೈಲ್ವೆಲೈನನ್ನು ಬಡಾವಣೆ ಮಾಡಿಲ್ಲವೆಂಬ ಅಂಶ ತಮ್ಮ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಅದು ಬೇರೆ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಆಯಿತು.

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—Is it not a fact that at one time the question of putting up a railway line from Chamarajnagar to Sathyamangalam was included in the Draft Plan ?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—Yes.

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—Are Government aware that the Government of India held out an assurance that it would be taken up during the first Five-Year Plan period itself ?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—That is also true. That was under priority. It was included under that head in the First Five-Year Plan.

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—May I know whether it is a fact that on account of influence from other parts, this question was dropped from the Plan ?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—It is an unfair allegation.

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—This qustion was already thought of as a matter of priority in the First Plan. Then what is the reason for its being not included even in the Second Plan ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—It is only the Central Government which can reply to this. How can the State Government reply to it ?

— —

9-30 A.M.

Seniority list in the State After States' Reorganisation.

Q.—746. Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN (Kolar Gold Fields).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether they have published a seniority list, etc, of Government officers after the Reorganisation of the State ;

(*b*) the basis on which the said seniority list has been prepared ;

(*c*) whether representations have been received from officers of ex twhile Mysore region to the effect that the said list affects them adversely ;

(*d*) the action they propose to take in the matter ?

A.—Sri T. MARIAPPA (Minister for Finance) on behalf of [Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA (Chief Minister)].—

(*a*) Seniority list of Gazetted Services in respect of certain Departments have been published. Others are under preparation.

(*b*) Equation of post is first determined with reference to the following principles :

(i) The nature and duties of a post ;

(ii) The responsibilities and powers exercised by the Officer holding a post ; the extent of territorial or other charge held or responsibilities discharged ;

(iii) The minimum qualifications, if any, prescribed for recruitment to the post ;

(iv) The salary of the post ;

NOTE :—In view of great variations in the pay scales in the different integrating areas, the salary of a post is not generally taken into account except where the other conditions are equal.

The Inter State Seniority Lists are then prepared on the basis of equations so determined, relative seniority as between two persons holding posts declared equivalent to each other, and drawn from different States being determined with reference to the following principles :—

(i) Length of continuous service, whether temporary, or permanent in the equated grade. (This excludes periods for which an appointment is held in a purely stop-gap or fortuitous arrangement) ;

(ii) Age of the person (other factors being equal, seniority is determined on the basis of age).

NOTE :—As far as possible, the Inter se Seniority of Officers drawn from the same State is not disturbed.

(*c*) Opportunity is given to Government servants from different areas to submit representations, if any, against the place assigned to them in the Inter State Seniority lists before